|  |
| --- |
| **INFORMATICS UNIT 4 OUTCOME 2**  **SCHOOL-ASSESSED COURSEWORK** |

|  |
| --- |
| Performance Descriptors |

|  | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Unit 4 Outcome 2**  **Compare and contrast the effectiveness of information management strategies used by two organisations to manage the storage and disposal of data and information, and recommend improvements to their current practices.** | Basic outline of the information management strategies for each organisation with limited accuracy. | Some accurate description of the information management strategies for each organisation | Generally clear explanations for most of the information management strategies for each organisation | Clear and detailed explanation of the information management strategies for each organisation | Thorough and accurate explanation of the information management strategies for each organisation |
| Few similarities or differences in the storage or disposal strategies between the two organisations are identified demonstrating limited understanding. | Some similarities and differences in the storage and disposal strategies between the two organisations are identified demonstrating some understanding. | Most similarities and differences in the storage and disposal strategies between the two organisations are identified demonstrating satisfactory understanding. | All similarities and differences in the storage and disposal strategies between the two organisations are identified demonstrating clear understanding. | All similarities and differences in the storage and disposal strategies between the two organisations are clearly and accurately identified demonstrating a proficient understanding. |
| Few relevant evaluation criteria are proposed and applied. demonstrating limited understanding of the measures of the goals and objectives of the two organisations | Some evaluation criteria that measure effectiveness are proposed and applied. There are limited links between the criteria and the goals and objectives of the two organisations. | Most of the proposed and applied evaluation criteria measure effectiveness demonstrating some understanding of the goals and objectives of the two organisations. | A detailed set of evaluation criteria to measure effectiveness are proposed and applied demonstrating a sound understanding of the goals and objectives of the two organisations. | A complete set of appropriate evaluation criteria are proposed and applied, demonstrating a strong understanding of the goals and objectives of the two organisations. |
| Limited identification of feasible consequences of ineffective information management strategies. | Some identification of feasible consequences of ineffective information management strategies. | Satisfactory description of feasible consequences of ineffective information management strategies. | A feasible set of possible consequences of ineffective information management strategies is discussed. | A comprehensive set of possible consequences of ineffective information management strategies is discussed. |
| Few recommendations to improve current practices demonstrating a limited understanding of the organisations’ needs | Some recommendations to improve current practices are relevant and technically feasible; demonstrating some understanding of the organisations’needs. | Some recommendations to improve current practices are relevant and demonstrate an understanding of the relationship between causes and effective actions. | Most recommendations to improve current practices are technically defensible and demonstrate a clear understanding of most of the organisations’ needs. | All recommendations to improve current practices are technically defensible and demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of all of the organisations’ needs. |

KEY to marking scale based on the Outcome contributing 100 marks

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Very Low 1-20 | low 21-40 | Medium 41-60 | High 61-80 | Very high 81-100 |